

## Introduction to Numeralwise Expressibility

Consider the  $\mathcal{N}$ -wf

$$\mathcal{G}(x_1, x_2) := (\exists x_3)(x'_3 + x_1 = x_2).$$

Clearly, when interpreted in the standard way,  $\mathcal{G}(x_1, x_2)$  means “ $x_1$  is less than  $x_2$ ,” furthermore, it is easy to check, for any valuation  $v$  in the usual interpretation  $\mathbf{N}$  of  $\mathcal{N}$ ,<sup>1</sup> that

$$f_v(\mathcal{G}(x_1, x_2)) = T \iff v(x_1) < v(x_2).$$

Thus, the meaningful predicate “ $x_1$  is less than  $x_2$ ,” expresses the  $\mathcal{N}$ -wf  $\mathcal{G}(x_1, x_2)$  in a certain sense. An important relationship between  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathbf{N}$ —one that is crucial for Gödel’s theorems—is that often this expressibility can be reversed: in a certain sense (to be made precise later), the truth or falseness of a meaningful predicate in  $\mathbf{N}$  will guarantee proofs of corresponding theorems in  $\mathcal{N}$ . This handout will first establish this sort of “reverse expressibility” between “ $x_1$  is less than  $x_2$ ” and  $\mathcal{G}(x_1, x_2)$ ; the general definition will follow.

**Lemma 1.** For all nonnegative integers  $k$  and  $\ell$ ,

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} 0^k + 0^\ell = 0^{k+\ell}.$$

*Outline of proof.* The proof proceeds by induction on  $\ell$ , although I will not write this out formally. Start with the observation that by using  $\mathcal{K}5$   $N3^*$  and MP, one can clearly get  $\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} (0^k + 0 = 0^k)$ . deduce from this fact the fact that  $\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} 0^k + 0' = 0^{k+1}$ , as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \vdash_{\mathcal{N}} 0^k + 0 = 0^k & \text{(as mentioned above)} \\ \vdash_{\mathcal{N}} 0^k + 0' = (0^k + 0)' & \text{(\mathcal{K}5 applied to } N4^*) \\ \vdash_{\mathcal{N}} 0^k + 0 = 0^k \rightarrow (0^k + 0)' = (0^k)' & \text{(E8*)} \\ \vdash_{\mathcal{N}} (0^k + 0)' = (0^k)' & \text{(MP)} \\ \vdash_{\mathcal{N}} 0^k + 0' = 0^{k+1} & \text{transitivity of } = \text{ (Prop 5.4 p 108); definition of } 0^{k+1}. \end{array}$$

By iterating the process, one can show that  $\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} 0^k + 0'' = 0^{k+2}$ ,  $\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} 0^k + 0''' = 0^{k+3}$ , etc. After doing this  $\ell$  times, you’ll have  $\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} 0^k + 0^\ell = 0^{k+\ell}$ . ■

**Exercise 1.** Using the fact that  $\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} 0^k + 0' = 0^{k+1}$ , show that  $\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} 0^k + 0'' = 0^{k+2}$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $k$  and  $\ell$  be nonnegative integers. If  $k < \ell$ , then

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{G}(0^k, 0^\ell).$$

*Proof.* First, we apply Lemma 1 to  $\ell - k$  and  $k$  to obtain

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} 0^{\ell-k} + 0^k = 0^\ell. \tag{*}$$

Next, since  $\ell - k \geq 1$ , (\*) can be rewritten

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} (0^{\ell-k-1})' + 0^k = 0^\ell. \tag{†}$$

It is then a short step to

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} (\exists x_3)(x'_3 + 0^k = 0^\ell). \blacksquare$$

**Exercise 2.** If  $t$  is free for  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}(x)$ , show that  $\vdash_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{A}(t) \rightarrow (\exists x)\mathcal{A}(x)$ .

**Exercise 3.** Use (†) and result of Exercise (2) to supply the “short step” that finishes the proof of Lemma 2.

<sup>1</sup> Text, page 57.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $k$  and  $\ell$  be nonnegative integers. If  $k \geq \ell$ , then

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{N}} \vdash \sim \mathcal{G}(0^k, 0^\ell).$$

*Proof.* Put

$$d := k - \ell \geq 0.$$

I will divide the proof into several steps.

**Step 1.**  $\frac{}{\mathcal{N}} \vdash x'_3 + 0^d = x_3^{d+1}$ .

*Proof of Step 1* has exactly the same structure as the proof of Lemma 1; the details will be left to you (see Exercise 4). ■

**Exercise 4.** Imitate the proof of Lemma 1 to supply the details of the proof of Step 1. (Supply about the same amount of detail as I did in that proof.)

**Step 2.**  $x'_3 + 0^k = 0^\ell \frac{}{\mathcal{N}} \vdash x'_3 + 0^d = 0$ .

*Proof of Step 2.* If  $\ell = 0$  (so that  $k = d$ ), the assumption  $wf$  matches the  $wf$  to be derived, so the assertion is obvious. If  $\ell > 0$ , then one can show that  $x'_3 + 0^k = 0^\ell \frac{}{\mathcal{N}} \vdash x'_3 + 0^{k-1} = 0^{\ell-1}$ , as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} x'_3 + (0^{k-1})' &= (0^{\ell-1})' && \text{(assumption)} \\ x'_3 + (0^{k-1})' &= (x'_3 + 0^{k-1})' && (\mathcal{K}5 \text{ applied to } N4^*) \\ (x'_3 + 0^{k-1})' &= (0^{\ell-1})' && \text{(manipulations using equality axioms)} \\ (x'_3 + 0^{k-1})' &= (0^{\ell-1})' \longrightarrow x'_3 + 0^{k-1} = 0^{\ell-1} && (\mathcal{K}5 \text{ applied to } N2^*) \\ x'_3 + 0^{k-1} &= 0^{\ell-1} && \text{(MP)} \end{aligned}$$

Iterating this process  $\ell - 1$  more times will lead to a derivation of  $x'_3 + 0^d = 0$ . ■

**Step 3.**  $x'_3 + 0^k = 0^\ell \frac{}{\mathcal{N}} \vdash x_3^{d+1} = 0$ .

*Proof of Step 3:* Combine the results of the first two steps and manipulate appropriately using equality axioms. ■

**Exercise 5.** Supply the details. You may be vague on the equality axiom manipulations.

**Step 4.**  $\frac{}{\mathcal{N}} \vdash x'_3 + 0^k = 0^\ell \longrightarrow \sim(\forall x_1)(\sim(x'_1 = 0))$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{}{\mathcal{N}} \vdash x'_3 + 0^k = 0^\ell &\longrightarrow (x_3^d)' = 0 && \text{(DT applied to Step 3)} \\ \frac{}{\mathcal{N}} \vdash (x_3^d)' = 0 &\longrightarrow \sim(\forall x_1)(\sim(x'_1 = 0)) && \text{(instance of Exercise 2)} \\ \frac{}{\mathcal{N}} \vdash x'_3 + 0^k = 0^\ell &\longrightarrow \sim(\forall x_1)(\sim(x'_1 = 0)) && \text{(HS)} \end{aligned}$$

**Step 5.**  $\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} x'_3 + 0^k = 0^\ell \longrightarrow (\forall x_1)(\sim(x'_1 = 0))$ .

*Proof:* Left to you (see Exercise 6). ■

**Exercise 6.** Supply the details. You will need axioms  $N1^*$  and  $\mathcal{K}1$  (and MP).

**Step 6.**  $\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} \sim \mathcal{G}(0^k, 0^\ell)$ .

*Proof of Step 6.* By applying an appropriate tautology and MP to the two  $\mathcal{N}$ -theorems derived in steps 4 and 5, one obtains

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} \sim(x'_3 + 0^k = 0^\ell),$$

from which, by generalization, one obtains

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} (\forall x_3) (\sim(x'_3 + 0^k = 0^\ell)).$$

Then, another tautology and MP lead to

$$\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} \sim\sim(\forall x_3) (\sim(x'_3 + 0^k = 0^\ell)),$$

and this last wf is precisely  $\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} \sim \mathcal{G}(0^k, 0^\ell)$ . ■

**Exercise 7.** Which tautologies are used in Step 6, and how?

The proof of Lemma 3 is complete. ■

**Numeralwise expressibility.** Lemmas 2 and 3 establish a certain precise relationship between the meaningful  $\mathbf{N}$ -predicate

$$P(x_1, x_2) := x_1 \text{ is less than } x_2$$

and the  $\mathcal{N}$ -wf  $\mathcal{G}(x_1, x_2)$ , namely that for any nonnegative integers  $k$  and  $\ell$  : if  $P(k, \ell)$  is true, then  $\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{G}(0^k, 0^\ell)$ ; and if  $P(k, \ell)$  is false, then  $\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} \sim \mathcal{G}(0^k, 0^\ell)$ . One conveys the existence of this relationship by saying that  $\mathcal{G}(x_1, x_2)$  numeralwise expresses  $P(x_1, x_2)$ . Here is the general definition of which this is an instance:

**Definition.** Let  $\{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_k\}$  be  $k$  variables in  $\mathcal{N}$ ; let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_k)$  be an  $\mathcal{N}$ -wf which has exactly these  $k$  variables free—these  $k$  and no others—and let  $P(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  be a meaningful  $\mathbf{N}$ -predicate in the  $k$  indeterminates  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ . One says that  $\mathcal{A}$  numeralwise expresses  $P$  if and only if, for all choices  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  of nonnegative integers,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(n_1, \dots, n_k) \text{ is true} \implies \vdash_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{A}(0^{n_1}, \dots, 0^{n_k}) \\ \text{and} \\ P(n_1, \dots, n_k) \text{ is false} \implies \vdash_{\mathcal{N}} \sim \mathcal{A}(0^{n_1}, \dots, 0^{n_k}). \end{array} \right.$$